arXiv:1412.5839v1 [nucl-ex] 18 Dec 2014

Suppression of inclusive J/ψ and ψ(2S) production in p-Pb collisions with ALICE at the LHC

Biswarup Paul (for the ALICE Collaboration)*
Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata - 700064, India

The ALICE Collaboration has studied both J/ψ and ψ(2S) production in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV [1–3], through their dimuon decay channel, in the Muon Spectrometer which covers the pseudorapidity range $-4 \leq \eta \leq -2.5$. The ALICE detector is described in detail in [4]. Data have been collected under two different configurations, inverting the direction of the p and Pb beams. In this way both forward ($2.03 \leq y_{\rm cms} \leq 3.53$) and backward ($-4.46 \leq y_{\rm cms} \leq -2.96$) centre of mass rapidities could be accessed, with the positive y defined in the direction of the proton beam. The difference in the covered y ranges reflects the shift of the centre of mass of the nucleon-nucleon collisions ($\Delta y_{\rm NN} = 0.465$) with respect to the laboratory frame, induced by the different energies per nucleon of the colliding beams. The J/ψ and ψ(2S) yields are extracted by fitting the dimuon invariant mass distributions with a superposition of signals and background shapes. For the signal, pseudo-Gaussian or Crystal Ball functions with asymmetric tails on both sides of the resonance peak are used, while for the background a Gaussian with a mass-dependent width or polynomial × exponential functions are adopted.

The production cross section of ψ(2S) in p-Pb is compared to the J/ψ one and to the corresponding quantities in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV (no LHC results are available at $\sqrt{s}$ = 5.02 TeV) using the double ratio $[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]_{\rm pPb}/[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]_{\rm pp}$ [2, 3]. The nuclear modification factor of ψ(2S) is obtained by combining the J/ψ $R_{\rm pPb}$ [1] and the double ratio, as



FIG. 1: J/ψ and ψ(2S) $R_{\rm pPb}$ versus y compared to theoretical models.

$R^{\psi(2S)}_{\rm pPb} = R^{J/\psi}_{\rm pPb} \times (\sigma^{\psi(2S)}_{\rm pPb}/\sigma^{J/\psi}_{\rm pPb}) \times (\sigma^{J/\psi}_{\rm pp}/\sigma^{\psi(2S)}_{\rm pp})$. In Fig. 1, $R^{\psi(2S)}_{\rm pPb}$ is compared with $R^{J/\psi}_{\rm pPb}$ and also with theoretical calculations based on nuclear shadowing [5], coherent energy loss or both [6]. The suppression of ψ(2S) production is much stronger than that of J/ψ and reaches a factor of 2 with respect to pp. Since the kinematic distributions of gluons producing the J/ψ or the ψ(2S) are rather similar and since the coherent energy loss does not depend on the final quantum numbers of the resonances, the same theoretical calculations hold for both J/ψ and ψ(2S). Theoretical models predict y dependence which are in reasonable agreement with the J/ψ results but no model can describe the ψ(2S) data. These results show that other mechanisms must be invoked in order to describe the ψ(2S) suppression in p-Pb collisions.

The $R_{\rm pPb}$ is also computed as a function of $p_{\rm T}$ both at backward and forward y and the results are shown in Fig. 2 and 3, respectively. At both rapidities, the $R^{\psi(2S)}_{\rm pPb}$ shows a strong suppression with a slightly more evident $p_{\rm T}$ dependence at backward-y. The ψ(2S) is more suppressed than the J/ψ, as already observed

*Electronic address: biswarup.paul@cern.ch


ALICE, p-Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$= 5.02 TeV, 2.03 < y_{cms} < 3.53
EPS09 NLO + ELoss with q_0=0.055 GeV^2/fm (Arleo et al.)
ELoss with q_0=0.075 GeV^2/fm (Arleo et al.)
EPS09 NLO (Vogt)
J/ψ
ψ(2S)
R_{pPb}
p_T (GeV/c)
ALI-PUB-81981

FIG. 2: $p_{\rm T}$ dependence of J/ψ and ψ(2S) $R_{\rm pPb}$ compared to theoretical calculations in the forward y region.


ALICE, p-Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$= 5.02 TeV, -4.46 < y_{cms} < -2.96
EPS09 NLO + ELoss with q_0=0.055 GeV^2/fm (Arleo et al.)
ELoss with q_0=0.075 GeV^2/fm (Arleo et al.)
EPS09 NLO (Vogt)
J/ψ
ψ(2S)
R_{pPb}
p_T (GeV/c)
ALI-PUB-81989

FIG. 3: Same as Fig 2 but in the backward y region.

for the $p_{\rm T}$-integrated result. Theoretical calculations are in fair agreement with the $R^{\rm J/\psi}_{\rm pPb}$ but clearly overestimate the $R^{\psi(2S)}_{\rm pPb}$ behaviour.

Finally, the ψ(2S) production is studied as a function of the event activity both at backward and forward y [3], as shown in Fig. 4 and 5, respectively. The event activity determination is described in details in Refs. [7]. Since the centrality estimation in p-Pb collisions can be biased by the choice of the estimator, the nuclear modification factor is, in this case, named $Q_{\rm pPb}$ [7]. The ψ(2S) $Q_{\rm pPb}$ shows a strong suppression, which increases with increasing event activity, and is rather similar in both the forward and the backward y regions. The J/ψ $Q_{\rm pPb}$ shows a similar decreasing trend at forward-y as a function of the event activity. On the contrary, the J/ψ and



FIG. 4: J/ψ and ψ(2S) $Q_{\rm pPb}$ versus event activity in the forward y region.



FIG. 5: Same as Fig 4 but in the backward y region.

ψ(2S) $Q_{\rm pPb}$ patterns observed at backward-y are rather different, with the ψ(2S) significantly more suppressed for large event activity classes.

References

[1] K. Abelev *et al.*, (ALICE collaboration) *JHEP* 02, 073 (2014).

[2] K. Abelev *et al.*, (ALICE Collaboration) *JHEP* 12, 073 (2014).

[3] R. Arnaldi *et al.*, (ALICE Collaboration) arXiv:1407.7451, QM2014 proceedings.

[4] K. Aamodt *et al.*, (ALICE Collaboration) *JINST* 3, S08002 (2008).

[5] J.L. Albacete *et al.*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* E22, 1330007 (2013) and priv. comm.

[6] F. Arleo and S. Peigne, *JHEP* 1303, 122 (2013).

[7] A. Toia *et al.*, (ALICE Collaboration), QM2014 proceedings.